"OLD" VS "NEW" FIELDS: EXPLORING CAUSAL FACTORS FOR THE YIELD GAP

Patricia Lazicki
UCCE Vegetable Crops Advisor
Yolo, Solano & Sacramento counties
palazicki@ucanr.edu; 530-219-5198

California Tomato Research Institute

UC Cooperative Extension
University of California
Agriculture & Natural Resources

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- 3 field pairs (old vs new (from walnut)), 5 locations/field
- Match:
  - Soil type
  - Variety
  - Approximate planting time (Late March/ early April)

Location	Soil type	Old/New	Variety	Harvest date
Madison	Tehama loam (light surface soil, clay layer)	Old	SVTM 9027	8-Aug
		New	SVTM 9027	4-Aug
Winters	Brentwood silty clay loam (heavier soil, clay layer)	Old	HM 58841	18-Aug
		New	HM 58841	31-Jul
Zamora	Yolo silt loam (medium texture, no subsurface layer)	Old	HM 0371	1-Aug, 12-Aug
		New	HM 0371	30-Aug

UC Cooperative Extension
University of California
Agriculture & Natural Resources

- Measure biological, physical, chemical indicators

Factor Type	Analyses	Timing (1st year)
Biological	Greenhouse assays; sterilized vs unsterilized soils	Planting
	Nematode communities	Planting
	Microbial community structure (PLFA)	Planting
	Declined plants, pathogen identities	Pre-harvest
	Microbial communities (DNA)	Planting
Physical	Bulk density (0-6", 6-12" , 12-18", 18-24")	Early season
	Aggregate size distribution	Planting
	Moisture content (center vs edge, 0-24")	Midseason
	Soil pit, root distribution (observational, only)	Mid - late season
Chemical	Basic fertility	Planting
	Nutrient distributions (center vs edge, 0-24")	Midseason
	Leaf nutrients	Midseason
	Carbon fractions & enzyme analyses	Planting

Questions:





"What factors look most different between old and new fields?"



"What factors positively correlate with yields, both between and within fields?"



"What factors start to look more alike over time?"

YIELDS & QUALITY

Soil type	Old/New	Variety	Harvest date	Yield (t/a)*	Brix	Yield % difference	Brix % difference
Tehama loam	Old	SVTM 9027	8-Aug	65.6	5.68	17	-7
	New	SVTM 9027	4-Aug	76.5	5.3		
Brentwood silty clay loam	Old	HM 58841	18-Aug	80.5	5.33	10	-10
	New	HM 58841	31-Jul	88.5	4.81		
Yolo silt loam	Old	HM 0371	1-Aug, 12-Aug	79.8	5.05	27	2
	New	HM 0371	30-Aug	101.6	5.13		

UC Cooperative Extension
University of California
Agriculture & Natural Resources

**Mean of 5 200-ft plots throughout the field, machine harvested into a GT cart*

“WHAT LOOKS DIFFERENT?”

- Nutrition
- Compaction
- Disease



HIGH POTASSIUM IN ‘NEW’

Rectangle = sufficiency threshold

Soil at planting (0-12”)



Young mature leaf @ first red fruits

Yolo silt loam “Old”, pre-harvest
(8/1; 127 DAP)

Yolo silt loam “New”, pre-harvest
(8/30; 148 DAP)

(Also consistently different between old & new: available phosphorus, available magnesium, leaf copper, leaf sodium)

SUBSURFACE COMPACTION



Brentwood silty clay loam “Old”

Bulk density=
1.52 g/cm^3

Brentwood silty clay loam “New”

Bulk density=
1.34 g/cm^3





1.26 g/cm^3

1.30 g/cm^3

1.36 g/cm^3

1.47 g/cm^3

Tehama New





1.26 g/cm^3

1.39 g/cm^3

1.51 g/cm^3

1.49 g/cm^3

Tehama Old

Massive structure under the drip tape. Note roots growing along breakage face

topsoil

DISEASE

Hypothesis:

Sterilized soils will outyield non-sterilized soils in “old” fields, but not “new” ones









Fusarium stem rot & decline (FRD); F. foot rot

FRD; southern blight

SVTM 9027

No disease

Fusarium wilt (F2); southern blight

HM 58841

Rhizoctonia; charcoal rot

FRD; Verticillium wilt

HM 0371

WHAT CORRELATES TO YIELD?







UC Cooperative Extension
University of California
Agriculture & Natural Resources

PROPOSED NEXT STEPS: 2026

- Measure change over time (2025 “New” fields)
- Measure “Old” vs “New” (from row crops



TESTING A NEWLY REGISTERED NEMATICIDE : SALIBRO (CORTEVA)

SALIBRO FIELD TRIAL

- Field near Dixon, CA
- Resistance-breaking RKN-- yield loss est. ~8 t/a in 2023

2025: 5 replicates; every other row switched off

- Planted 4/21 (var HM 8237)
- Harvest 9/19

2025 Salibro program:

- 1st application—30.7 fl oz/acre, 20 DAP (1st irrigation after incorporation)
- 2nd application—30.7 fl oz/acre, 50 DAP
- At each application: mixed 30.7 fl oz/10 gal water, injected 10 gpa
- Cost about $200/acre


5/13


7/25
8 w before harvest


8/2
Photo courtesy Fred Rehrman


8/15
5 w before harvest


9/10
1 w before harvest



- 11 t/a difference in trial rows
- Difference in # dead plants pre-harvest not significant
- No difference in sunburn
- Yield difference likely due to delayed onset of symptoms

Acknowledgements

Hosting

- **Blake Harlan & Chris McAlister** (Harlan Family Ranch)
- **Bruce Rominger** (Rominger Brothers Farms)
- **Tim Beeman & Toshi Aoki** (Bullseye Farms)
- **Colin Muller** (M Three Ranches)
- **Spencer Bei & Aaron Black** (Robben Ranch)
- **Planting & harvest crews!!**

Donation of plants

- **Kevin Winn & Scott Picanso** (TS&L)

Field help, analytics & use of lab space

- **Jorge Rodrigues** (UC Davis Professor of Soil Ecology) & Lab
- **Daniel Geisseler** (UC Davis CE Specialist in Nutrient Management)
- **Sutie Xu** (UC Davis CE Specialist in Soil Health)
- **Cassandra Swett** (UC Davis CE Specialist in Plant Pathology)
- **Amanda Hodson** (UC Davis Professor of Nematology)
- **Shah Alam** (MS Student, UC Davis Dept of Nematology))
- **Eric Haddix** (UC Davis Vegetable Crops Facility)
- **Indiana Waterman, Mat Talton & UCCE colleagues** for harvest help (!!)

California Tomato Research Institute for funding

Corteva (Daniel Abruzzini) Salibro field day sponsorship

QUESTIONS?

PALAZICKI@UCANR.EDU; 530-219-5198

California Tomato Research Institute